Art Unit: 2624

### DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-13, drawn to "image monitoring using plurality of digital still camera", classified in class 382, subclass 107.
  - Claims 14-19, drawn to "a camera unit comprising a second nonvolatile memories and a random access memory", classified in class 348, subclass 159

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination are separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as using it as a standalone image monitoring devices for monitoring remote areas. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Art Unit: 2624

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

2. During a telephone conversation with Mr. David McClaughry on 5/11/2009 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of "image monitoring using a plurality of camera units operatively connected to each other and to a central controller using wireless communications", claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

#### Examiner Notes

3. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially

teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) The invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-2, 6, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Ramirez ET al (US 6,476,858).
- 6. As to claim 1, Ramirez et al teaches and image monitoring system ( system wherein a plurality of video cameras may be monitored from a local or remote location, column 4, lines 19-21) comprising:

A central controller; and a plurality of digital still camera units operatively connected to the central controller (figure 8A; column 4, lines 54-column 5, lines 5; see also figure 4 that shows 10-camera system),

Wherein at least one of the camera units comprises an image sensor (302, figure 8A); a motion detector operatively connected to the image sensor that causes the image sensor to receive image data when motion is detected (motion detection devices, column 4, lines 19-28; note that Input devices such as motion sensors and switches may be monitored across the optically isolated inputs 403 or over the TTL inputs 404.); a micro controller

Art Unit: 2624

operatively connected to the image sensor and to the motion detector (313, figure 8A); a first nonvolatile memory operatively connected to or included in the micro controller (the I2C control 311 is a serial controller that communicates with serial non-volatile memories such as 12C NV memory 305, column 5, lines 6-15);

and computer readable program code stored on the first memory for causing the micro controller to determine whether the received image data should be transmitted to the central controller, wherein the central controller then determines whether the received image data should be transmitted to a

monitoring station (the software running on la-1d called the VS client controls the hardware referenced in the block diagram of figure 8, column 4, lines 41-44).

As to claim 2, Ramirez et al teaches the image monitoring system of claim 1, wherein the plurality of camera units transmit image data wirelessly to the central controller (figure 7; column 4, lines 50-53).

As to claim 6, Ramirez et al teaches the image monitoring system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the camera units is battery operated and comprises direct memory access circuitry between an image sensor and a second nonvolatile memory (column 5, lines 5-14).

As to claim 8, Ramirez et al teaches the image monitoring system of claim 1, wherein the monitoring station forms a component of the image monitoring system, and wherein the monitoring station includes human personnel who further analyze the images to determine whether an alarm should be sent to an authority ( see figure 9B).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 9, Ramirez et al teaches the method for image monitoring using a plurality of digital still camera units operatively connected to each other and to a central controller using wireless communications, the method comprising, the steps of: detecting motion of a moving object using a motion detector included in at least one of the camera units (FIG. 9C is a detailed block diagram of the motion detect algorithm employed to detect motion from the video cameras; triggering, following the detection of motion of the moving object, an image sensor included in at least one of the camera units to receive an image of the moving object (The digitized information from each video camera is alternatively analyzed using image processing techniques and to trigger alarm events. Other alternatives provide ON/OFF signals from devices such as infrared sensors, motion sensors, alarm signals or cameras with built-in motion detection. To sense ON/OFF signal states the software constantly monitors digital I/O logic until a signal activates, generating an alarm event. Once the alarm event occurs, the digitized camera image may then be saved in a database, or, alternatively, transmitted over a network or Internet to one or more remote locations, figure 8A); determining whether the received image should be transmitted wirelessly to the central controller by analyzing the image using a micro controller included in at least one of the camera units and operatively connected to the image sensor (column 4, lines 41-column 5, lines 65) and determining whether any images received at the central controller from at least one of the camera units should be transmitted to a monitoring station (column 5, lines 15-23)

Application/Control Number: 10/574,674 Page 7

Art Unit: 2624

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3-5, 10-12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramirez et al ( US 6,476,858) in view of Rajeev et al ( CA 2.242.322) .

While Ramirez meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as pointed out more fully above, Ramirez fails to specifically teach the a mesh networking protocol enabling image data to be routed indirectly and wirelessly through one or more of the camera units to the central controller and that at least one of the camera units further comprises a microphone and the use of both a color and black and white image sensors to improve light sensitivity.

Specifically, Rajeev et al. teaches the use uses a video camera to capture video images and output them in standard video format. A digital video decoder is coupled to the output of the video camera, to convert the video images into digital data, with a capture rate that corresponds with the standard format rate of digital video. This is combined with a motion detection system (6, 7), and fitted into a tamper-proof enclosure. Moreover, Rajeev teaches in figure 2 a video camera 1 captures video image in one of eight different types of video formats which includes regular and high resolution EIA, CCIR, NTSR, and PAL analog video standards. The use of microphone or the use of color or black and white image sensors helps in improving the low light

Art Unit: 2624

sensitivity. Rajeev teaches the system includes a programmer interface such as serial port which is operative to input and output program and diagnostic information by using a remote arm/disarm that allow the user to activate/deactivate the device without having to contact it. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the microphone, and the different kind of image sensors in Rodriguez in order to provide a cost effective embedded surveillance system with a user interface and on-screen display so that it is operable without any dependence on a PC or other external operational unit, effectively eliminating the need for external cabling and the other costs and problems associated with PC's (page 6,lines 11-16)Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant.

As to claim 4, Rajeev et al teaches an image monitoring system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the camera units further comprises a microphone (microphone 3, page 12, line 1, figure 1a).

As to claim 5, Rajeev et al teaches an image monitoring system of claim 1, wherein at least one of the camera units further comprises both a color and a black and white image sensor to improve low-light sensitivity, wherein both image sensors are operatively connected to a single high-speed DMA bus (pages 8, lines 21-page 9, line 1-23).

As to claim 10, Ramirez et al teaches the method for image monitoring of claim 9, wherein the central controller further comprises a mesh networking protocol enabling image data to be routed indirectly and wirelessly through one or more of the camera units to the central controller (column 4, lines 50-53).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim 11, Ramirez et al teaches the method for image monitoring of claim 9, further comprising the step of storing images received by the image sensor in a memory unit of a camera unit using direct memory access (video storage means, and wherein the predetermined function includes digitally compressing and storing the video images from at least one of the cameras, 305, figure 8A; see also Rajeev et al figure 2 (8)).

## Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 7 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041.
 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/574,674 Page 10

Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

> /Vikkram Bali/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624